UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,282	08/22/2006	Eric E. Schadt	ROSA134255	2454
26389 7590 06/21/2011 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, CAROLYN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

efiling@cojk.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/567,282	SCHADT ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
CAROLYN SMITH	1631

CAF	ROLYN SMITH	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (was for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavi vith appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31, or (3) a Request				
	<u></u>					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr	ior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ration and/or search (see NO	ΓE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 ar	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So	ee attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowal	 No if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	ne ii submilited iii a separate,	timely med amendment cancering the				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 211,297 and 298.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>211,297 and 296.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>108-134,137-139,142-160,211-235 and 297</u>	<u>-318</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	are or on the date of filing a Nic	tion of Appeal will not be entered				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	icient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO. 13. Other:	/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
6/15/11	/Carolyn Smith/					
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds limitations, such as "reactive to, or independent of" and "and not reactive or independent of", and "having a correlated occurrence across a population" which would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment contains new limitations that would require further consideration and/or search. Because the proposed amendment has not been entered, the objections and rejections from the last FINAL office action, mailed 3/11/11, are maintained.

Applicant's arguments are based on claim amendments that have not been entered and are therefore unpersuasive.